

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/757,344	01/09/2001	Pierre Jean Francois Layrolle	04148-00005	8849
759	90 03/29/2002			
John P. Iwanicki			EXAMINER	
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. 28th Floor			MICHENER, JENNIFER KOLB	
28 State Street Boston, MA 02	2109		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2001011, 1111 1			1762	3

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		mk-		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/757,344	LAYROLLE ET AL.		
7	Examin r	Art Unit		
	Jennifer Kolb Michener	1762		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspond nce address		
THE REPLY FILED 22 March 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of thi	s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in		
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or t	p)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set f than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	ng date of the final rejection.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amo ned statutory period for reply origina	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under illy set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:	•		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplifying th		
(d) they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed amendmen		
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request application in condition for allowance because:		en considered but does NOT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	pecause it is not directed So	OLELY to issues which were newly		
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	<b>'</b> S:			
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>27-38</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐	disapproved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten	nent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)		
10. Other:				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office				
PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)	dvisory Action	Part of Paper No. 8		

Application/Control Number: 09/757,344

Art Unit: 1762

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Advisory Action**

- 1. The proposed amendments will not be entered because they raise new issues that would require further search and/or consideration. Specifically, the addition of the limitation requiring direct nucleation and a specific bond strength would require further search and consideration.
- For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims will be as follows:
   Claims 27-38 are rejected.

# Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 3/22/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The request for reconsideration does not place the application in condition for allowance.

Applicant argues that the prior art references fail to teach a specific bond strength of the implant to be 40-65 MPa. Examiner notes, however, that the claims as currently written do not require this limitation.

#### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Kolb Michener whose telephone number is



Application/Control Number: 09/757,344

Art Unit: 1762

703-306-5462. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P. Beck can be reached on 703-308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Jennifer Kolb Michener March 27, 2002

SHRIVE P. BECK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700